| 1<br>2<br>3 | WANGER JONES HELSLEY PC 265 E. River Park Circle, Suite 310 Fresno, California 93720 Telephone: (559) 233-4800 Facsimile: (559) 233-9330 |   |  |
|-------------|--|---|--|
| 4           | Christopher A. Lisieski #321862  |   |  |
| 5           | Attorneys for: PLAINTIFFS SUSAN OTTELE and WILLIAM COLLIER, JR. on their own behalf  |   |  |
| 6           | and on the behalf of the Estate of Adam J. Collier, decedent   |   |  |
| 7           |  |   |  |
| 8           | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT   |   |  |
| 9           | EASTERN DISTRIC  | Γ OF CALIFORNIA                               |  |
| 10          |  |   |  |
| 11          | SUSAN OTTELE and WILLIAM COLLIER, JR., on their own behalf and on the behalf of the  | Case No. 1:22-cv-00187 JLT CDB                |  |
| 12          | Estate of Adam J. Collier, decedent,   | STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]                    |  |
| 13          | Plaintiffs,  | ORDER TO EXTEND DEADLINES IN SCHEDULING ORDER |  |
| 14          | V.   |   |  |
| 15          | OSCAR MARTINEZ and AARON HODGES,   |   |  |
| 16          | and DOES 1–10, inclusive,  |   |  |
| 17          | Defendants.  |   |  |
| 18          |  |   |  |
| 19          |  |   |  |
| 20          |  |   |  |
| 21          |  |   |  |
| 22          |  |   |  |
| 23          |  |   |  |
| 24          |  |   |  |
| 25          |  |   |  |
| 26          |  |   |  |
| 27          |  |   |  |
| 28          |  |   |  |
|             | {8727/002/01532618.DOCX}   | XTEND DEADLINES IN SCHEDULING ORDER           |  |

## Case 1:22-cv-00187-JLT-CDB Document 31 Filed 12/28/22 Page 2 of 5

| Pursuant to Local Rule 143, the parties hereby jointly stipulate and request that the current              |
|--|
| deadlines imposed by the Scheduling Order in this case (Doc. No. 20) be extended by ninety (90) days.      |
| Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16(b)(4), the schedule may be modified for good cause with the       |
| Court's consent. Good cause exists here. This request is necessitated by delays in finalizing the proposed |
| Protective Order which was entered by the Court on December 19, 2022, by the time required for the         |
| parties to complete written discovery, and by the trial and litigation work load and schedules of the      |
| parties' counsel. (See Lisieski Dec., at ¶ 2-4; Mathison Dec., at ¶ 2-5.) As directed by the Court during  |
| the December 21, 2022 mid-discovery conference, the parties' counsel have conferred about scheduling       |
| depositions in the case. They have agreed that Plaintiffs' deposition will be conducted on January 26,     |
| 2023. The parties' counsel have further conferred about the anticipated discovery remaining in the case    |
| and the amount of time it will likely take to complete. (Mathison Dec., at ¶ 6; Lisieski Dec., at ¶ 6.)    |
| As such, the parties jointly request a ninety (90) day extension of the scheduled dates, as set forth      |

As such, the parties jointly request a ninety (90) day extension of the scheduled dates, as set forth below:

## **Current Deadlines:**

Discovery

| Initial Disclosures:  | Completed |
|-----------------------|-----------|
| Non-Expert Discovery: | 2/7/2023  |
| Expert Disclosures    | 2/21/2023 |
| Expert Discovery:     | 4/21/2023 |

Non-Dispositive Motions

| Filing:  | 4/28/2023 |
|----------|-----------|
| Hearing: | 6/2/2023  |

Dispositive Motions

| Filing:  | 6/20/2023 |
|----------|-----------|
| Hearing: | 8/1/2023  |

Pre-Trial Conference

9/29/2023 at 1:30 p.m. in Courtroom 4

Trial

12/5/2023 at 8:30 a.m. in Courtroom 4 Jury trial: 4-5 days

{8727/002/01532618.DOCX}

| 1        | Proposed Deadlines:  |  |
|----------|--|--|
| 2        | Discovery  |  |
| 3        | Initial Disclosures: Completed   |  |
| 4        | Non-Expert Discovery: 5/8/2023 Expert Disclosures 5/22/2023 Rebuttal Expert Disclosures 6/21/2023          |  |
| 5        | Expert Discovery: 7/20/2023  |  |
| 6        | Non-Dispositive Motions  |  |
| 7        | Filing: 7/28/2023<br>Hearing: 8/31/2023  |  |
| 8        | Dispositive Motions  |  |
| 9        | Filing: 9/18/2023  |  |
| 10       | Hearing: 10/30/2023  Pre-Trial Conference  |  |
| 12       |  |  |
| 13       | allows.  |  |
| 14       | Trial  |  |
| 15       | 3/5/2024 at 8:30 a.m. in Courtroom 4, or as soon thereafter as the Court's schedule allows.                |  |
| 16       | Jury trial: 4-5 days   |  |
| 17       | The parties requested a change in the date of the mid-discovery conference, due to unanticipated           |  |
| 18       | scheduling conflicts, but have not otherwise requested any other changes or amendments to the deadlines    |  |
| 19       | in this case. This extension is requested based on the parties' good faith estimate and agreement of the   |  |
| 20       | discovery remaining in the case, and not for improper or unnecessary purposes or to cause delay. (See      |  |
| 21       | Lisieski Dec., ¶ 5; Mathison Dec., ¶ 6.)   |  |
| 22       | Additionally, as discussed during the mid-discovery conference, the parties through their counsel          |  |
| 23<br>24 | have met-and-conferred on the possibility of settlement at this point in the case. The parties do not      |  |
| 25       | believe that settlement is possible at this point of the case, and do not believe that a formal settlement |  |
| 26       | conference will be helpful until after there has been a ruling on dispositive motions. Should the case     |  |
| 27       | proceed beyond the dispositive motions stage, the parties believe that settlement negotiations should be   |  |
| 28       | undertaken, and therefore request that the Court schedule a settlement conference before the assigned      |  |
|          | {8727/002/01532618.DOCX} 2   |  |
|          | STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND DEADLINES IN SCHEDULING ORDER                                   |  |

## Case 1:22-cv-00187-JLT-CDB Document 31 Filed 12/28/22 Page 4 of 5

| Magistrate Judge in this case or before another Eastern District Magistrate Judge, pursuant to Local 270. Based on the proposed schedule under the present stipulation, the parties request the Court states this settlement conference in January 2024, or on another date that the Court estimates will be a Magistrate Judge has issued Findings and Recommendations on the summary judgment anticipated to be filed by Defendants. (See Lisieski Dec., ¶ 6; Mathison Dec., ¶ 6.)   | after the |
|--|-----------|
| this settlement conference in January 2024, or on another date that the Court estimates will be a Magistrate Judge has issued Findings and Recommendations on the summary judgment   | after the |
|  | motion    |
| anticipated to be filed by Defendants. (See Lisieski Dec., ¶ 6; Mathison Dec., ¶ 6.)   |           |
|  |           |
| IT IS SO STIPULATED.   |           |
|  |           |
| 8  |           |
| 9 Dated: December 27, 2022. WANGER JONES HELSLEY PC  |           |
| 10   |           |
| By: /s/ Christopher A. Lisieski  |           |
| 12 Christopher A. Lisieski Attorney for Plaintiffs   |           |
| 13   |           |
| Dated: December 27, 2022. ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CALIFORNIA   |           |
| 15   |           |
| By: /s/ James Mathison (with permission on 12/27/2022)   |           |
| James Mathison   |           |
| Deputy Attorney General Attorney for Defendants A. Hodges and O. M.  | lartinez  |
| 19   |           |
| 20   |           |
| 21   |           |
|  |           |
| 23   |           |
| 24   |           |
| 25   |           |
| 26    27    27    26    27    27    26    27    26    27 |           |
| 27   <br>28  |           |
|  |           |
| \[ \frac{\{8727/002/01532618.DOCX\}}{\text{STIPULATION AND \frac{\{PROPOSED\}}{\}} \] ORDER TO EXTEND DEADLINES IN SCHEDULING ORDER  |           |

| 1        | <del>[PROPOSED]</del> ORDER   |  |
|----------|---|--|
| 2        | Having considered the stipulation and declarations of the parties, and good cause appearing, the                              |  |
| 3        | Court adopts the stipulation of the Parties as modified below. The Scheduling Order entered on July                           |  |
| 4        | 29, 2022 (Doc. 20) is amended as follows:   |  |
| 5        | Discovery   |  |
| 6        | Initial Disclosures: Completed  |  |
| 7<br>8   | Non-Expert Discovery: 5/8/2023 Expert Disclosures 5/22/2023 Rebuttal Expert Disclosures 6/21/2023 Expert Discovery: 7/20/2023 |  |
| 9        | Non-Dispositive Motions   |  |
| 10<br>11 | Filing: 7/28/2023<br>Hearing: 8/31/2023   |  |
| 12       | Dispositive Motions   |  |
| 13       | Filing: 9/18/2023<br>Hearing: 10/30/2023  |  |
| 14       | Pre-Trial Conference  |  |
| 15       | <u>1/8/2024</u> at 1:30 p.m. in Courtroom 4   |  |
| 16       | Trial   |  |
| 17       | 3/5/2024 at 8:30 a.m. in Courtroom 4  |  |
| 18       | Jury trial: 4-5 days  |  |
| 19       | IT IS SO ORDERED.   |  |
| 20       | Dated: <b>December 28, 2022</b>   |  |
| 21       | UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  |  |
| 22       |   |  |
| 23       |   |  |
| 24       |   |  |
| 25<br>26 |   |  |
| 27       |   |  |
| 28       |   |  |
|          | {8727/002/01532618.DOCX} 4  |  |
|          | STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND DEADLINES IN SCHEDULING ORDER  |  |